fsn.
1896-97.

(Incomplete)
p 44

GS 1-19

fsn 65-96.

Conduct of Mr Cheluviengar N.
Y. Comm. Shimoga in granting
mission of assessment on certain
ds.

Indexed
KA

File no-65

1

4

File no-

Petition no 2896 dated
11th December 1886

Petitioner
Ramiah
of Hirisave
Channarayapatna taluk

The is a complaint
that Asst Comr Cheluvarayanagar impudently remitted the
assessment due on the Patta
land of his brother
Gopalakrishnayanagar
on the ground of poverty :—

On Dy Comr's letter
dated 7th Feby 1887
No 2788/583 above sent
one Gopalakrishnaiah
a cultivator assessed
at Rs 30-11-8 in 1859-60.
He fell into arrears
amount of arrears (Rs 127-12-3) which was cleared by the
Samasthanadar officer

in 1881-82 ?

Cholavarayanpet to be struck off or removed. — This was accordingly done, the Pet having been struck off the names of the Khatadars of Vellore. — 1880-81

Annexed is a Statement A of 1881-82 and continuing any particulars as to who the Khadar was, but it refers to the Statement No 7 of Vellore 1880-81 which again refers to the Bakeyat of that year in which it is stated that Cholavarayanpet Krishnarayapet was employed at Wandiwash. The Bakeyat of Pramadi 1879-80 shows that Cholakrishnachetti was the Head Monshee of the Deputy Asst Commr's Court & Writer of Asst Commr Cholavarayanpet. —

In July 1879, the amildar wrote to the Asst Commr's to Cholavarayanpet ~~[illegible]~~ stating that according to Shekdaub report, the defaulter Cholakrishnarayapet was [illegible] (Cholavarayapet) brother & asking him

(450)

Note

Letter from the Dist Court Shimoga
dated 18th Feb 1890
No 381/356
Mr. No 289/6 dated
the 1st Feb 1886.—

Petitioner
Ramiah
of Hirisave.—

Petitioner complained that assistant Chalvarayar remitted the arrears due by his Brother Gopalakrishna on the ground of poverty.— Though Petitioner had no interest in the matter, the Petition was referred for enquiry.— The enquiry was that Gopalayyar was the son of Venkatramiah the father of Chalvarayyar.— Chalvarayyar says that his father's name was Venkatramiah, but he had no son named Gopalakrishniah & he had no concern with the land.— I understand

Ist / Chalvayangar
has now a brother named
Gopalacharyangar &
that he was also employed
in the Sadaree dept,
as mentioned in the
Sakarar Patta of 1878-79.
Vide my first note. —
Probably the
Gopalayangar, is the
man entered in the
accounts as Gopala
Krishnayangar. —

Although the arrear
remitted is small being
only Rs 127 / it is
important that we should
know whether Chalvayangar
is speaking the truth or
not. — My impression
is that he is not. — In
the letter of the Sakarar dated
22d Dec 1867, he clearly
mentions that the
defaulter Gopalakrishna
nayangar was the son
of Peishkar Venkatramiah
& the Petition presented
by the Ryots in 1867, shows that
the Ryots were against the
influence of Peishkar
Venkatramiah. It
is impossible to
believe that the
Statements are entirely

The Division Engineer visited
5 villages & found that
with a few exceptions
the Court

W. Chelamuthu on oath
states that he has a brother
named Sopathiram
employed in the
Just Ants' Ct.

PP

& that Chalvaraya for
is speaking the truth?
I therefore that the papers
should be sent to the
Dewan for such notice
as he may wish to
take in the matter.—

CL 8/10/20

Before submitting
these papers to the
Dewan I wanted to make
a reference to the Head
Asst Jailor to know
whether any person
named Sopathiram has
ever been employed as
Interpreter in the C.J.
Just Ants Ct. If
so for what period
and where he is
now— I would also
find for the retr-
ancy of the
Asst also the Head
Jailor to

9

From the Under Secy's
note appended to the memo
it is evident that Mr. Colonel
Arthur Gordon has also
Royal Instructions was
employed in the Land
Office at Sandakan. He is
the person that Messrs. Cowie
Little & Co. [illegible]
[illegible]

12

(24)

List of papers in the file.

No.	No of Letter	Date.	From whom	To whom.	
1.	629.	29.12.86.	Chf Secy —	Dy Comm^r, Hassan	
2.	2788/583.	7.2.87.	Dy Com^r., Hassan	Chf Secy	
3.	3291/644	28.3.87.	Do———	Do———	Letter & Enclos.
4.	7091/298½	10.12.89.	Chf. Secy —	Dy Com^r. Hassan.	
5.	7293/455.	23.12.89.	Do———	Dy Com^r. Mysore.	
6.	610.	11.3.90.	Dy Com^r. Hassan	Chf Secy.	
7.	388/13.	19.4.90.	Chf Secy ——	Dy Com^r, Hassan	
8.	74	6.5.90.	Dy Com^r. Hassan	Chf Secy.	
9.	1181/42.	17.5.90.	Chf Secy ——	Dy Com^r, Hassan	
10.	134.	9.6.90.	Dy Com^r Hassan	Chf Secy.	
11.	198.	25.7.90.	Do———	Do—	
12.	4079/132.	16.7.90.	~~Dy~~ Chf Secy.	Offg Dy Com^r, Shemoga	
13.	264/247.	2.8.90.	Offg Dy Com^r, Shemoga.	Chf Secy.	
14.	5842/176.	23.8.90.	Chf Secy ——	Offg Dy Com^r, Shemoga	
15.	349/327.	30.8.90.	Offg Dy Com^r. Shemoga	Chf Secy.	
16.	6860/202	12.9.90.	Chf Secy ——	Offg. Dy Com^r, Shemoga.	
17.	Telegram	16.9.90.	Mr. Chelvengai.	Chf Secy.	
18.	7682/219	25.9.90.	~~Offg Dy Com^r, Shemoga~~ Chf Secy, Shemoga	Offg Dy Com^r Shemoga ~~Chf Secy~~	
19.	381/356	18.9.90.	Offg Dy Com^r Shemoga.	Chf Secy.	
19A.	Vernacular Roobakaree per Jeriat Patty.				
20.	M^r Ramachendra Rao & Thumboochettyar's dated 19th October 1890 —			Memo & Dewan's Memo M^r Ramachendra Rao	and Memo

(22)

You are requested to give clear & direct replies to the following questions

1. Whether Puttadan Gopala Kristneeyan was or was not the son of your father Peshkar Ponkathomanja

Answer - ~~He Pdk~~ ~~Gopala Kristneeyan~~

As my father had no son named Gopala Kristneeyan; Puttadan Gopala Kristneeyan cannot be his son

22 Oct/90 S Mukerjee

14

2. Whether the name Gopala Krishneiyar was not another name of your brother Gopaleiyar? And whether such brother was or was not the Pattakaran of the land in question

Answer - I had a brother named Gopala = eiyar. He had no other name such as Gopala Krishneiyar - This my brother (deceased) Gopaleiyar cannot be the Pattakaran Gopala Krishneiyar

22nd Octr./90. Sd Ketts

N.B. In proof that my brother had only one name called Gopala I beg to hand over to you an original horoscope marked A (Exhibit) on which my father has written in his own handwriting the words "Gopalanapinana puttakan"

22nd Octr./90. Sd Ketts

16

22-A

శ్రీరామచంద్రుడు

Dist. Collr. గారి అందజేసినవత్రికలు Dist. A.R.

శ్రీమద్రమణ = కేళ్ళసంవత్సరదీపాల్దాబ్ధి శ్రీరామనవమి మహా దశ్మీకాశ్చ
మంత్రనాయుడుగారు రం క్రోదిన్ని చిత్ర శుద్ధదిన శుభంభ్యోదయకాలంలో
లన్నీ మధ్యే నక్ష-క్ర ప్రభుపాదకన్యాకాంతిలల్లో వేంకటాచార్యులుగారు
మందనజనినారు.

శని	శుక్ర		
భు/బు/కేత లగ్న			గురు
కుజ.			రాహు
			వ-ంద్ర

22.10.90
Dist/K.S.

A
22.10.90
Dist/L. Ricketts

Copy.
K.S.
compared
ch.

The original has been forwarded to the Dy/commn, Shemogah, for return to Mr Ricketts vide docket dy — 2

243,
24/10.

Form B.

List of Letters in the File.

17

Serial Number.	Letter. No.	Letter. Date.	From whom.	To whom.

Dewan's order dated 9th December 1892.

"Needful notice has been taken. No further action required - File."

Sup. K.S.

May the Cant: Proys. be returned to the Dy Comr. of Hassan?

10/1/93

No - Let them be kept with the Petition 10/1

No. 629

Gmb 87
Sl 1

Mysore Dewan's Office,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(1)

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 2896, dated 17 December 1886 received at the Dewan's Office from Ramiah Son of Chikkaumbariah of Hiriyur, Chennarayapatna Taluk is forwarded, together with its ——— enclosures, to the Deputy Commr of Hassan Distrt- for report- with Proceedings

Camp Mysore
29th December 1886.

Draft-

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—5-10-86.—2000.

188

8333.

DEW

406

GM 65-96

noted 15/2/87

Submit proceedings

15/2/

From

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 14th February 1887

Despatched 20th February 1887

Received 21st February 1887

Enclosures No. 1 Petition & title deeds of the papers.

General No. 2788 } 188

No. 583.

Forwarding petition of Ramayya of Thirisane in the Hemmaragalpatna Taluk complaining against the grant of remission to one Gopal Krishnaiya of Muggihally, Hemmaragalpatna Taluk.

5-12-89

No 2788/583.

(2)

Daled Camp Ramanathpur
7th February 1887.

From,

D. Anandarow Esquire B.A.
Deputy Commissioner.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your official Memo no: 629 of the 29th December last giving cover to a petition from Ramayya of Hirisave in the Channa-rozapatna Taluk complaining against the grant of remission to one Gopal-Krishnaiyar of Kuggihally, I have the honor to submit the Vernacular file of papers relating to the subject and to report as follows:-

2. An individual by name Gopalkrishnayya acquired land assessed Rs 30-11-8 in Kabbalu village in the year Shidharti 1859-60. The land

land remained in his khata until Pramati-1879-80.

3. The arrear on this holding was Rupees 63-6-2 at the end of 1877-78 according to the Bakipatti prepared by the Taluk authorities during the Jamabandy of 1878-79. The Jamabandy officer Dr. P. N. Krishna Murti passed an order thereon on the 24th March 1879 that the amount should be collected. Kulkarni Subdivision officer Dr. N. Chelvingar, was then addressed by the Amildar for furnishing the particulars of address of the defaulter reported to be his brother. That officer replied to the effect that the defaulter was not his brother and that the Shaikdar should be warned against giving such false information.-

4. A notice of Demand Dated 12th September 1879 was issued to the defaulter for Rs 95-3-9. including the arrears of Balundaraya. Kalegowda son of Bussegowda who cultivated the land

has

has acknowledged service of notice on himself and has attested it to that effect.

5. The then Amildar Dr. C. D. Ramaswamya attached the holding and obtained the permission of the Deputy Commissioner on the 19th November 1879 to sell it.

6. Meanwhile the Jamabandy of Pramati approached. In a statement of defaulters alleged to be destitute of means and submitted for the orders of the Deputy Commissioner, the Shanbogue's remark was that half of the land of the defaulter was lying waste for three years past, that the whereabouts of the defaulter were not known and that it was not possible to ascertain whether he had means or not to pay up the arrears. The addition made to the said remark by Amildar Dr. Ramaswamaya was to the effect that from subsequent enquiries it

it was learnt that defaulter (who is addressed in Proceedings Gopal Krishnaiyar") was the Head Amushi of the Bangalore Judicial Assistants Court and is a brother of General Assistant Commissioner Mr. N. Chelvaiyar; that notice of Demand had been served on the land and that it was to be sold. He: Hill ordered thereon that the arrears should be recovered from the defaulter and his suspension from office should be recommended in case he failed to pay up.

7. The land proved unsaleable during auction.

8. There is another Bakipatty pre-pared by Shanbogue in which the same facts are recited viz that the defaulter is employed in the Bangalore District; that a notice may be served on him; that the land is not saleable. This does not bear the signature of the Amildar. There is also a Jakarar Book for resuming the land but order is not passed

Refer
30/3/87

Sent
6/4/87

Refer
9.4.87

1175

16100

18/2/87

V 11214

583

T.101

(General Serial No. 31.)

15

1889-90.

Case 65/96

Sl 4

From

To The Comr Monmouth Harran

Dated 10th

Despatched } Dec/1889

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 7091

No. ~~5~~ 2982

Forwarding for report the papers relative to the case of Ramaiya of Bericave in the Chandragutta Pergunna the respondent claims certain land

Petn. No. 2896 d/ 11th Dec. 1886.

16

Ramiah of Hirisave
Chennarayapatna Taluq . . . . . Petitioner.

Dy 10 Dec 1887

Decision.

This is a complaint that Asst Cont. Chelavarayangar improperly remitted the assessment due on the Patta land of his brother Gopalakrishnayangar on the ground of poverty.

The Dy: Cont's letter, dated 7th February 1887, No. 2788/583, shows that one Gopalkrishniah acquired land assessed at Rs. 30-11-8 in 1859-60. He fell into arrears, and the amount of arrear (Rs. 127-12-3) was ordered, in 1881-82, by the Jamabundy officer, Chelavarayangar, to be struck off or remitted. This was accordingly done, the land having been struck off the name of the Uhiatadar in Villavina 1880-81. The remission statement of Vishu 1881-82 does not contain any particulars as to who the Uhiatadar was, but it refers to the statement No. 7 of Villavina 1880-81 which again refers to the Bakipatti of that year, in which it is stated that Gopalkrishnayangar was employed at Bangalore. The Bakipatti of Nagvadi 1879-80 shows that Gopalkrishniah was the Head Peonolee of the Inst. Asst Cont. Cont and brother of Asst Cont. Chelavarayangar. In July 1879, the Amildar wrote to the Asst Cont. Chelavarayangar stating that according to Sheikdar's report, the defaulter Gopalkrishnayangar was his (Chelavarayangar's) brother & asking him to send him wherewithal to do this, Chelavarayangar replied in August 1879, that Gopalkrishnayangar was not his brother.

This is all what the Records show. No depositions seem to have been taken from Kala who cultivated the land as to who the Uhiatadar was, and no other enquiry was held on the subject. The remission of the assessment is in itself not of much consequence, but it is necessary to ascertain whether it is a fact or not that the defaulter Gopalakrishnayangar was the brother of Chelavarayangar. The accounts of 1859-60 ought to show who Gopalkrishnayangar was and how he got the land, but these accounts do not appear to have been examined and the Dy: Cont., who

reported

17

reported the marks in February 1887 with reference to the present Petition does not give his opinion as to whether the defaulter was really Chalavayeyan's brother or not.

Under these circumstances, the papers will be forwarded to the present Dy: Comr: of Paiyanur with the request that he will cause the accounts of the various years to be examined, and after examining the Petitioner, the person who cultivated the land and others whom he may think proper, report, as speedily as possible, as to who the defaulter really was.

"By order."

10/12/87

L 7091/443 16-12-87 Under: Secy:

To,

The D.C. Tanjore Distt, returning Vernacular Proceedings.

18

(General Serial No. 31.)

1889

5

From

To The Deputy Commissioner Bijapur

Gen 6546
SC 5

Dated 23 December

Despatched 24

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 7293
No. 455

Requests that the Decision in the case of Ramaya & Shri. Sari in the Chennapatna Taluk sent by a mistake to his address may be cancelled with No 7091/463 dated 10 Dec. 1889 may be transferred to the Deputy Com of Kaira

Yrs

No 7294/303

Copy to D C Kaira

(General Serial No. 30.)

1889-90 — 20

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Dated 19 April 1890
Despatched 23
Received

Enclosures No. 27

General No. 385
No. 13

His No 610 dy 11th March 1890, requesting to be furnished with a copy of this No 2788/583 of the 7th Feby 1887 reporting on the complaint of Ramaiya of Hirisave.

Forwards a copy of No 2788/583 d/ 7th Feby 1887 as requested in the above

By order

Under Secretary

(General Serial No. 29.)

1890

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 1063 10 MAY 90

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 6th May 1890

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 749

No.

Chief Secretary's memo No 7091/298 1/2 dated 19th December last.

Has the honor to request to be good enough to return the petition* of Ramanja son of Chikkanibaranja of Hirisave Channarayapatna Taluq for reference.

Deputy Commissioner

Deputy Commissioner

12-5-90

* Returned with this office No. 2786/1583 dated 7-2-87.

(General Serial No. 31)

1890

From The Dy Commissioner Gaspar District

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.

Dated 9th June 1890

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. IV file

General No. 134 of 1890
No. S.

Submitting report regarding the remission granted by Mr Chalvington Assistt Commissioner to one Gopal Krishniengar of Nuggehally taluq.

Her letter together with the order of Govt on Mr No 2896 dated 11 Decr 1888 was be forwarded to the Govt of Shimoga with a request that he will obtain the explanation of Asst Comr Chalvaynegar & forward the same to this office.

2. The records received show that the defaulter

Reference as 12/6/90

Sir Submitted 13-6-90

Letter Dy Com Gaspar District letter to the Councillor

The report is meagre - the information could be easily obtained by a Searching & Carefull enquiry - as submitted as 16/6/90

Hon'ble Mr Council as this is a case dealt with by Commissioner Hon'ble Attorney July

31
14-6-90

Gopal Krishnayyar was the brother of Chalvayyar, while the latter states that he was not.— ~~Enquiries to be cleared up.~~— Chalvayyar should state whether Venkatesh ~~was his father~~ referred to in the records was his father or not, & ~~if not~~ whether he had ~~no~~ a ~~son~~ son named Gopala Krishna.

Vel
F 7190

15/7

Drafted
16/7

No 134 Deputy Commissioners office
S.

Hasan dated

Dated Hasan 9th June 1890 (10)

From

The officiating Deputy Commissioner,

Hasan district.

To

The Chief Secretary

to the Government

of Bombay.—

Sir,

With reference to your No 7091/443
of 16th December 1889 forwarding copy
of Government Decision dated 10th December
1889 on a petition No 2896 of 11th December
1886 of one Ramanja of Hirisave, Chenna-
rayapatna Taluk, regarding the remission
granted by Assistant Commissioner
Mr. Chelamangam to one Gopal Shivlingaya

of wrongfully who is said to be his brother, stated the manager to report that in the further enquiry should be in the case as to who the defendant Gopal Krishnayya is, and whether he is not the brother of Mrs Chelamiengar

The marginally noted documents were found in the Taluk records.

The Jamabunsy Jakrar Final Entry for Siddhanti (1859-60) marked A shows that the land, the arrears on which were remitted by Mrs Chelamiengar, was claimed by Gurkhash by one Gopal Krishnayya, but the Gurkhash Proceedings are not forthcoming. The petition (marked B) dated 5th September 1867

1. Jamabunsy Jakrar Final Entry of Hebbal village for Siddhanti (1859-60). 2 leaves marked A.
1. Petition dated 5th September 1867 from the ryots of Hebbal village marked B.
1. Hirisave Sheikdar's report No 379 of 23rd September 1867 marked C.
1. Hirisave Sheikdar's report No 591 of the 22nd December 1867 marked D.

from the Rajah of the Hebbal Village

and the Huisane Sheikdars report No

591 of the 22nd December 1867 marked

D, shews that the Pattadar Gopal Krishna-

Iyengar is the son of late Vichoor Peishkar

Venkatramanja who it is reported is the

father of the Chelumeyar. The present

Shanbogue Ramanja states that he

has been informed that Gopal Krishna-

Iyengar is the brother of the Chelumeyar,

that he has been receiving letters from

Peishkar Venkat Ramiah during his

lifetime to have the land registered

in the name of his son Gopal Krishniengar

and cultivated, and that 2 or 3 of such

letters were produced during the Jama-

bundy of Huvara with the Kanumi Jasti

Books to facilitate the recovery of arrears due. These letters and the Kanni gasi book are not forthcoming.

One Basavangowda, which appears was cultivating the land is now dead, but his son Kalegowda ignores the whole affair.

2. I submit the entire surmise correspondence for further needful reference and orders.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obedient servant.

F Marshall.
officiating deputy commissioner

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
3716
2 AUG 90

18 90

From The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Petition of Ras 1/8/90

Dated 25th July

Despatched 28th July 1890

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 198
No. 8

Chief Secretary's Docket No 1181/42 of the 17th May last, forwarding for reference petition Registered No 2896 Dated 11th December 1886 from Ramiah, son of Chitambaraya of Hiriesave, Cheluvapatna Taluk.

Has the honor to return the petition referred to in the above as a report has been submitted to the Chief Secretary Vide No 2140 136 dated 7th ultimo.

1

H Narasaraya
Deputy Commissr

26-1-2
25

(General Serial No. 30.)

31

189 0.

From

To The D.C. Shevaroy Hills D.

Dated 16 July 1890.

Despatched 30

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4019

No. 132 1

Passing orders in the matter of the complaint of one Ramayya of Attisavadi in the Chemmarapatna T.K. & calling for the Collector's statement as to whether Venkatramayya, referred to, in the records, was his father, & whether he had such a son named Gopalakrishnamayya.

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE 4058 7 - AUG 90 DIWAN OF MYSORE

1890 9/249

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE Dated 2-8-90 SHIMOGA DISTRICT

From

To The Chief Secretary to the Diwan of Mysore

Dated 2nd August 1890

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 13

General No. 264

No. 247

Government Proceedings No 4049 dated 16th July 1890 calling for explanation in the matter of remission of certain arrears of revenue due by Gopal Krishnaiyar of Nuggihalli.

Undersigned begs to be favored with all the Vernacular records relating to the subject including the papers alluded to in the Hassan Deputy Commissioner's letter dated

84

to submit the explanation called for in the above —

Draft
23/8/KR

(General Serial No. 31.)

26

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 5268 2 - SEP 90 328

188

From The Officiating Commissioner Mangalore

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 30th August 1890.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 349

No. 327.

Sir

I beg to acknowledge receipt of Government Order cancelling proceedings No 4019-132 of the 10th September 1890 from Public No 5042 of the 23rd October 126 and to submit respectfully the following explanation on the point therein alluded to —

I am &c

Illegible

Referred to Mr Dennis 2/9/90

Mr Dennis

I am the proper person to submit explanation with respect to the matter referred to in this letter and the enclosures thereto

Illegible 9/9/90

Illegible

132
8-9-90

37

(General Serial No. 30).

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 25 SEP 90

39

6165

1890 1269

From N. Chelvambapillay

Asst. Commissioner, Shimoga

To

Dated 16th September 1890

Despatched

Received 25 Sept 1890

Enclosures No.

General No. Telegram

No.

Requests permission to personally explain matters

Sd. 17

Sir

Gen 1896

T—14.

(17)

Class. XE | INDIAN TELEGRAPH. | Monthly Form No.

To Station: Bangalore Fort | From Station: Shimoga

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instruction
26	16	8	6	Urgent-Priority

To (Person): Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

From (Person): K. Chelavaraya

Begs permission to come and explain matters fully will bring record

BANGALORE-FORT 16 SEP

K. Chelavaraya

Recd. from	L. N.	Sent to	L. N.
At Hrs.	Mts. By	At Hrs.	Mts. By

Date

Postmaster

RATES OF CHARGE FOR INLAND TELEGRAMS.

The address (including name of Sender, and name and residence of Addressee) is transmitted free.

	First 8 words.	Each additional word.	
Repeated Message	... 8 Annas	... 1 Anna.	A group of 3, or less figures, is reckoned as one word.
Ordinary ,,	... 1 Rupee	... 2 Annas.	
Urgent ,,	... 2 Rupees	... 4 Annas.	

No charge for delivery within a distance of 5 Miles.

No 31

(General Serial No. 30).



From The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

Dated 18th September 1890

Despatched

Received 22 18

Enclosures No. 1 Records.

General No. 381
No. 356

Returning the Ver. Proc
& stating that his father
had no Son named
Gopalakrishnayya

Chuntral Nursery
Cott
30/9

Rcvd — Dated 19th Sept
with Mr Chetwynd's
telegram — (vide also
Dy Dy's telegram No. dated
20th Dy Diary)
Filed
25 Sept 90

1890-91

To The Chief Secretary
to the Govt of Bengal
dated 18th September/90

No 381/356 Gen Record

(General Serial No. 20.)

1890. 43

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Dewan
Ramaiya

Dated 25

Despatched 25th Novr

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 2682
No. 219

Sir

I duly rec'd your
despatch telegram of the
6th instant with reference
for reply. But by the
time the reply could
be turned out & the order
of government could
be obtained thereon
your letter No 357/350 of 8th
instant has arrived
Letter & telegram shall
be duly disposed of in order
Meanwhile they will
herewith the pass for
telegraphic reply which
was rec'd with your letter

Thanks
Yrs
25 Feb 90